Integrated noncollinear red–green–blue laser light source using a two-dimensional nonlinear photonic quasicrystal
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We report on a noncollinear red–green–blue (RGB) laser light source using a two-dimensional nonlinear photonic quasicrystal. The red and blue lights result from a green light pumped optical parametric generation process cascading two frequency doubling processes in a single-pass setup. Together with the residual green light, two sets of RGB lights were observed in a wide temperature range, which indicates a practical method for constructing a compact multiwavelength laser light source. © 2011 Optical Society of America
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1. INTRODUCTION

In nonlinear optics, due to material dispersion, efficient nonlinear interactions require compensation for phase mismatches between the interacting waves. The dielectric superlattice has been proved as a promising material for frequency conversion in terms of quasi-phase-matching (QPM) [1–9]. The so-called $\chi^{(2)}$ modulated crystal is QPM material that uses spatial modulation of the relevant component of a nonlinear susceptibility tensor to compensate the phase mismatch for efficient frequency conversion. Usually, a one-dimensional (1D) periodic structure can provide a basic reciprocal vector and typically phase match only one collinear process [4,5]. In recent years, the method of simultaneously phase matching several nonlinear processes has been applied in fields such as the creation of multiple radiation sources [6], multicolored solitons [7], and multiple entanglement sources [8,9]. Two or more nonlinear optical processes and noncollinear interactions typically require more complex QPM structures. Two-dimensional (2D) periodic crystals [10–16] and 2D nonlinear photonic quasicrystals (2DNPCQCs) are available. The reason is that they can provide a wealth of reciprocal lattice vectors that are able to compensate for phase mismatches in multiple nonlinear processes. One method of constructing a 2DNPCQ is based on Penrose tiling, proposed in quasicrystal by Penrose [17]. Several works have been made, such as noncollinear second harmonic generations (SHGs) [18,19]. This method has limited flexibility, and it could not arbitrarily phase match multiple frequency conversion processes. Another method is based on the generalized dual-grid method (GDGM) [20–24], which allows the structure to simultaneously phase match any arbitrary set of frequency conversion processes in any special direction [20]. It is flexible and realizes multiple nonlinear interactions in a single crystal. The GDGM can be used to generate any of the structures and has the advantage of generating a much wider class of patterns than the other methods [25].

In this work, we demonstrate a 2DNPQC to phase match three frequency conversion processes based on the GDGM. One collinear optical parametric generation (OPG) process cascading two noncollinear frequency doubling processes of the signal and idler are realized, generating two sets of red and blue light in a single-pass setup. Experimental results, including temperature and spectral detuning characteristics of the 2DNPQC, are also studied.

2. STRUCTURE DESIGN

The OPG process was frequency downconverted from 532 to 918 and 1266 nm, and frequency doubling of the signal and idler was 459 for the blue and 633 nm for red lights. We chose LiTaO$_3$ as the nonlinear crystal, and the phase-matching temperature was set to be 180°C. The corresponding wave vector mismatches for the three processes can be described as $\Delta k_1$, $\Delta k_2$, and $\Delta k_3$, which are 2D vectors in reciprocal space. The components of $\Delta k_1$, $\Delta k_2$, and $\Delta k_3$ can be described as $(\Delta k_{11}, \Delta k_{12}), (\Delta k_{21}, \Delta k_{22})$, and $(\Delta k_{31}, \Delta k_{32})$ respectively. According to the GDGM [20], they can be viewed as two three-dimensional (3D) vectors $(\Delta k_{11}, \Delta k_{21}, \Delta k_{31})$ and $(\Delta k_{12}, \Delta k_{22}, \Delta k_{32})$. By adding the third 3D vector $q_3 = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$, orthogonal to $(\Delta k_{11}, \Delta k_{21}, \Delta k_{31})$ and $(\Delta k_{12}, \Delta k_{22}, \Delta k_{32})$, we complete it so it becomes a basis of the 3D space $K_j = (\Delta k_j, q_j)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$). $K_j$ is a 3×3 matrix, written as

$$K_j = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta k_{11} & \Delta k_{12} & q_1 \\ \Delta k_{21} & \Delta k_{22} & q_2 \\ \Delta k_{31} & \Delta k_{32} & q_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$ (1)
Then we can find three basis vectors in real space, denoted as

$$\mathbf{A}_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & b_2 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & b_3 \end{bmatrix}. $$

(2)

The orthogonality condition is satisfied by $\mathbf{A}_i \cdot \mathbf{K}_j = 2 \pi \delta_{ij}$. In Eq. (2) $\mathbf{A}_j = (\mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{b}_j)$. $\mathbf{a}_j$ are called 2D tiling vectors, and they can be written as

$$\mathbf{a}_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{bmatrix}. $$

(3)

while $\mathbf{b}_j$ are the 3D vectors in real space. The reciprocal vectors $\mathbf{q}_j$ are not unique, but once chosen, the tiling vectors $\mathbf{a}_j$ and the extension $\mathbf{b}_j$ are uniquely determined by the orthogonality condition. The three basic vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2,$ and $\mathbf{a}_3$ in 2D real space are called tiling vectors, which construct three types of parallelograms (tiling) that can overspread the whole quasiperiod lattice, as shown in Fig. 1. The circular motifs in Fig. 1(a) represent the nonlinear tensor component of the polarization with a negative value: $-\chi^{(2)}$. The background is made with positive polarization $+\chi^{(2)}$. The centers between the two nearby circles constitute a tiling vector. The radius is optimized by a numerical procedure to be $2.3 \mu m$ for the best Fourier coefficients for the three desired processes. The magnitudes of $\mathbf{a}_1$, $\mathbf{a}_2$, and $\mathbf{a}_3$ are 16.4, 11.3, and $6 \mu m$, respectively.

Figure 2(a) is the Fourier transformation of the structure with the size of the dots representing the size of the Fourier coefficients. Figure 2(b) shows the phase-matching geometry for multiple frequency conversions. The reciprocal vector $\mathbf{G}_i = \Delta \mathbf{k}_i = \mathbf{k}_p - \mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_i$ is parallel to $x$ axis and compensates for the phase mismatch $\Delta \mathbf{k}_i$ in OPG. The QPM conditions for the two SHG processes can be written as $\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{G}_i = 0$ for $i = 2, 3$, where $\mathbf{G}_2$ and $\mathbf{G}_3$ are the reciprocal vectors that compensate for phase mismatches $\Delta \mathbf{k}_2$ and $\Delta \mathbf{k}_3$ for each SHG process and $\mathbf{k}_s$ and $\mathbf{k}_2$ are the wave vectors of the parametric and second-harmonic (SH) waves, respectively. The two SHG processes generate noncollinear blue and red beams, which means that there is an angle between the fundamental and harmonic beams. The magnitudes and angles are $0.84 \mu m^{-1}, \angle 0 \text{ rad}$ for $\mathbf{G}_1$; $1.45 \mu m^{-1}, \angle 0.42 \text{ rad}$ for $\mathbf{G}_2$; and $0.51 \mu m^{-1}, \angle 0.13 \text{ rad}$ for $\mathbf{G}_3$, respectively. The detailed
characteristics of the reciprocal vectors and tiling vectors are given in Tables 1 and 2.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The 2DNPQC was fabricated by electric-field poling a z-cut LiTaO$_3$ (LT) wafer at room temperature. The poled LT sample was 0.5 mm in thickness, 3 mm in width, and 35 mm in length. The optimized poled ratio was 0.32 in calculation; thus, during poling, the poled ratio was controlled to be 0.32. The sample was slightly etched in hydrofluoric (HF) acid to reveal the domain pattern, and the –z surface micrograph of the sample is shown in Fig. 1(a). The near circularly inverted domains with a radius of 2.9 μm distribute in the +z background, which indicates it is a little overpoled compared with the theoretical calculation radius of 2.3 μm. Figure 1(b) is the detailed view of the pattern, which clearly gives three types of parallelograms constructed by the three basic vectors $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$.

The pump source was a 10 Hz, 532 nm green laser with a pulse duration of 3.5 ns and a linewidth of 0.1 nm. A lens with a focal length of $f = 150$ mm was used to focus the z-polarized pump light into the crystal, and the beam waist inside the crystal was estimated to be about 120 μm. The crystal was embedded in an oven with an accuracy of ±0.1 °C. The oven was used in a single-pass setup.

The pump beam was incident on the crystal along the direction of $G_1$, and a parametric downconversion process happened when the momentum conservation was ensured by $G_1 = k_p - k_s - k_i$. By carefully aligning the setup, we could get a maximum output energy of the signal and idler to make sure the pump beam was incident along $G_1$ and the generated signal and idle light were both collinear with the pump light. When the crystal temperature was tuned from 110 °C to 200 °C, the wavelength of the signal light varied from 986.9 to 890.6 nm, which covered about 96 nm, while the idle light covered a wide spectrum of 167 nm from 1154.2 to 1321.3 nm. The measured temperature tuning curves for both the signal and idler are shown in Fig. 3, which is well accordant with the theoretical calculation.

Second harmonic generations of the signal and idler happened when momentum conservations satisfied the conditions $k_s - k_p - k_i - G_2 = 0$ and $k_i - k_s - k_i - G_3 = 0$, respectively. The energy of the blue light reached its maximum at 167 °C, as shown in Fig. 4, and the fitted temperature full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) was about 13.0 °C. When lowering the temperature to 165 °C, the red energy reached

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Calculated Mismatch Vectors for Optical Parametric Generation and Frequency Doubling Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mismatch Vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta k_1 = G_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta k_2 = G_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta k_3 = G_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Fourier coefficients for the three processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Detailed characteristics of the Tiling Vectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tiling Vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When the temperature was lowered to 144 °C, another set of frequency doublings of the signal and idler occurred. The reciprocal lattice vectors $G_2'$ and $G_3'$ were used to satisfy the momentum conservations in the two SHG processes. From the theoretical calculation, $|G_2'| = 4.38 \mu \text{m}^{-1}$ and the angle between vector $G_1$ and $G_2'$ was 1.34 rad, $|G_3'| = 3.4 \mu \text{m}^{-1}$, and the angle between $G_1$ and $G_3'$ was 1.5 rad. The energy of the blue and red both reached the maximum at 141 °C, and the measured wavelength of the signal and idler were 948.5 and 1211.5 nm, respectively. The corresponding wavelengths of blue and red were 474.2 and 605.8 nm, respectively, and the output angles for blue and red beams become larger toward 0.145 and 0.166 rad, respectively, due to the larger reciprocal vectors got involved. The energy of blue and red were 0.3 and 0.19 \mu J, respectively, which showed a lower efficiency than frequency doubling using $G_2$ and $G_3$. This is mainly due to the much lower Fourier coefficients of $G_2'$ and $G_3'$ compared with that of $G_2$ and $G_3$.

Figure 4 shows the temperature tuning curves for each set of frequency doubling processes. From Fig. 4(a), we can see the temperature for maximum output of red and blue deviates a little from each other, and the temperature bandwidths are both around 10 °C. Figure 4(b) shows the tuning curves of another set of blue and red, and the temperature bandwidths are 5.5 °C and 7.0 °C. Thus, the two sets of blue and red beams can both occur within a wide temperature range. In 2D periodically poled structures, it could hardly be realized because only one freedom, i.e., the lattice parameter, can be chosen to meet multiple phase-matching conditions [16].

It is worth noting that we observed two sets of noncollinear RGB beams in the experiment, and these two sets of RGB laser light can cover most of the area in the Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) chromaticity diagram. Because the signal and idler beams are both collinear with the pump beam, it is easy to realize singly or doubly resonant optical parametric oscillation, thus providing the signal or idler higher feedback for more efficient red–blue output from the 2DNPQC.

4. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we have designed and fabricated a 2DNPQC based on the GDGM. A collinear OPG process cascading two noncollinear SHGs of the pregenerated signal and idler wavelengths are realized in a single crystal. Two sets of red–blue light are obtained when tuning the temperature. The generated red–blue lights, together with the residual green pump light, compose a noncollinear three elemental colors in vision. This scheme has potential applications in laser displays and quantum optics [9].
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